Effect of luting agent on the bond strength of ceramic-based lithium disilicate and titanium abutment subjected to artificial aging
Washington Reis Leite¹, Paulo Henrique De Souza Pereira¹, Lais Regiane Da Silva-Concilio¹, Ana Christina Claro Neves¹ and Leonardo Gonçalves Cunha².

¹Department of Prosthodontics, University of Taubate, Taubate, SP, Brazil. Expedicionário Ernesto Pereira St, 110. Centro, Taubaté, São Paulo, BRAZIL, ZIP code: 12020-330. ²Dental Clinic Department, University Federal of Bahia, Salvador, BA, Brazil. Araujo Pinho St, 62 - Canela, Salvador, BA, Brazil, ZIP code: 40110-060.

Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the bond strength (BS) of a ceramic coping-abutment implant interface according to the luting agent, before and after thermal cycling (TC). Methodology: Forty ceramic copings and abutments (Ti) were divided into four groups (n=10): OZ (zinc oxide eugenol free), PZ (zinc phosphate), GIC (resin modified glass ionomer), and RC (adhesive resin cement). BS test was performed using a universal testing machine before and after TC. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test (p<0.05) were performed for the means. Results: The results showed that before TC, the values ranged from 50.77 N (OZ) to 240.21 N (GIC). After TC, the values showed intermediate results - 3.5 N (OZ) and 330.66 N (RC), 90.58 N (PZ), and 280.43 N (GIC). OZ performed the worst, both before and after TC. Before TC, GIC had the highest value. After TC, RC had the highest value of BS. Conclusions: The aging process influenced and inverted the results of the GIC and RC groups, and had a greater negative impact on the OZ group.
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Introduction

The implant-prosthesis longevity is directly linked to the apparent success of osseointegration. However, according to the same technological evolution, the behavior of implants used initially showed excellent results in cases where they were joined by a rigid mechanism. With increasing applicability of oral implants for tooth restorations, connections started to assume another role of preventing the rotation of the prosthesis. Furthermore, factors such as reversibility, esthetics, retention, passivity, and occlusion also emerged in the planning of these crowns, further contributing to an increase in their survival.

The connections on the prosthetic implant may be made in accordance with the clinical positioning and biomechanical considerations in two ways: cemented prostheses and screwed prostheses. The cemented prosthesis is more esthetic and presents a better biomechanical behavior; this makes it ideal for use in implants with connections. However, despite representing the most commonly used type, this prosthesis poses a major challenge for dental surgeons. Different cements including zinc phosphate, type I glass ionomer, resin-modified glass ionomer, and resin cements are commercially available for this purpose.

Temporary cement can be used for the bonding of the crown and the prosthetic implant pillar. This technique would make this stage reversible, thus solving the technical difficulty. The combination of petroleum jelly with temporary cement, can also be used to facilitate the removal of the prosthesis crown, if necessary. However, the use of temporary cements could result in frequent recementation, in contrast to the high retention provided by zinc phosphate, glass ionomers, and resin cements.

The high concern for esthetics has been evidenced in several areas of health, and dentistry is no exception. This has further advanced the search for new materials that are more esthetic. Among them is the ceramic synthesized from a lithium disilicate matrix and materials such as zirconia are recently used. However, new materials and methodologies for analyzing these materials have mainly been discussed in relation to the adhesion of these materials to metal copings. This is important owing to the high demand for this treatment option in oral rehabilitation, especially in cases where esthetics play a major role.

Another aspect to be considered is the thickness of the film of cements between the prosthesis and the abutment. When performing a comparison between teeth and implants, it is observed that the natural teeth can move within one hundred micrometers of the periodontal ligament, compensating for the maladaptation of the prosthesis. In contrast, an osseointegrated implant has extremely limited motion, averaging ten micrometers. The consequence of the absence of good adaptation, as in the case of thick-film cement, is the micromovements of prosthesis components. These micromovements may disrupt the pillar-cement interface. Addition different studies have shown that dental materials are susceptible to degradation when exposed to different periods and ways of storage, influencing the bond strength of this interface. The occurrence of such degradation is directly related to the composition of these materials, due to the loss of their constituents to the storage media. Moreover, the particles of the storage media can degrade the absorbed interface formed, resulting in decreased bond strength of this interface. However, it is important to evaluate the behavior of this interface while bonding prosthetic pieces free of metal. Its adhesive properties may indicate different results, and even a different cementation technique.

According to the literature, dimethylmethacrylate-based cements show mecha-

Ceramic coping modified with two handles for testing tensile bond strength.

Material and Methods

Fifty dental implant analogs were used from the Regular Neck System (Straumann/ITI - Waldenburg, Switzerland) along with the assembler of the Regular Neck implant, forming fifty sets of implant/implant assembler. The dental implant analogs were fixed with the vertical rod of a cast surveyor (Bioart, São Carlos, SP, Brazil) in an epoxy resin-glass fiber composite, (NEMA Grade G-10 rod, Piedmont Plastics, Charlotte, NC, USA). This embedment material has an appropriate elastic modulus to serve as analog for bone (approximately 20 GPa); moreover, it can be machined easily and is sufficiently tough for cyclic testing20).

The sets of analog/implant assembler/linker were included perpendicularly in auto-polymerizable acrylic resin (JET - Artigos odontológicos, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), in PVC pipe (Tigre - São Paulo, SP, Brazil), totaling 50 samples. These were prepared in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications for lithium disilicate (IPS e.max, Ivoclar-Vivadent Nichestein - Germany); a total of 50 ceramic copings modified with 2 handles were used for testing tensile bond strength (Figure 1). After application and polishing of the copings, the dimensions of all were checked with Absolute ip 66 digital caliper (Mitutoyo, São Paulo, SP- Brazil). Any manufacturing defect such as cracks, porosity, or lack of proper dimensions led to exclusion of the sample.

The copings were stored in plastic containers in a dry environment without the interference of light and room temperature until completion of cementing. All bodies-of-evidence were fabricated in the prosthesis laboratory authorized by the manufacturer.

**Torque procedure**

We used 50 solid pillars of 5.5° to 8° taper (Straumann No. 048541/ITI, Waldenburg, Switzerland). The analog/abutment assembly was torqued using a torque control device in a PVC pipe with a standardized torque force of 35 N. All instruments and implant components were obtained from the same company (Straumann Dental System, Waldenburg, Switzerland) and the study protocol was in accordance with the manufacturers’ instructions.

Table 1 – Material used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cementing Agent</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp-Bond NE</td>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>Temporary</td>
<td>SDS Kerr (CA – USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HY-Bond</td>
<td>Zinc Phosphate</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>Shoflo Ind. ( San Marco CA USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC Fuji Plus</td>
<td>Glass Ionomer Modified by Resin</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>GC Corporation ( Alsip – IL USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilink</td>
<td>Resin Cement</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>Ivoclar–Vivadent (Multilink;Ivoclar-Vivadent, Liechtenstein)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cementation (Phase 1)

We randomly selected 40 copings (other ten were reserved) prepared in this study. These were randomly divided into four groups, with 10 elements each, for the cementation procedure (Table 1).

A device made to standardize the procedure for cementing was used for all study groups in this step. This device comprises of a piston coupled to a hole height adjuster, which enables control of the load applied for cementation; a total load of three pounds was used. The ceramic copings were then cleaned with an isopropyl alcohol swab for removing the impurities and/or contaminants from the ceramic surface. They
Results

The means values of tensile bond strength of different luting agents before and after thermal cycling are presented in Table 3. Prior to the thermal cycling, the average values of bond strength for different cements ranged from 50.77 N (zinc oxide) to 240.21 N (glass ionomer). The zinc oxide cement did not differ statistically from the zinc phosphate cement (60.16 N). However, both showed average bond strengths statistically inferior to glass ionomer (230.36 N), which did not differ from each other. After completion of thermocycling, the average values of bond strength for different cementing agents were between 3.50 N (zinc oxide) to 330.66 N (resin). The resin cement had an average bond strength statistically superior to that of other groups in the study, followed by glass ionomer cement (280.43 N), and zinc phosphate cement (90.58 N), which were statistically different. The zinc oxide cement was associated with the lowest average tensile bond strength, which was significantly lower than the other study groups. The completion of thermal cycling resulted in a statistically significant reduction in the average bond strength of zinc oxide cement without eugenol. For other cementing agents, thermocycling promoted a statistical increase in the average values of bond strength.

Statistical Analysis

The averages of the tensile bond strengths before and after thermal cycling were tested for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors). These were found to be normal, and subsequently, the scores were subjected to analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA) and Tukey’s test for post hoc analysis, with a significance level of 5%.

Results

The means values of tensile bond strength of different luting agents before and after thermal cycling are presented in Table 3. Prior to the thermal cycling, the average values of bond strength for different cements ranged from 50.77 N (zinc oxide) to 240.21 N (glass ionomer). The zinc oxide cement did not differ statistically from the zinc phosphate cement (60.16 N). However, both showed average bond strengths statistically inferior to glass ionomer cement (230.36 N), which did not differ from each other. After completion of thermocycling, the average values of bond strength for different cementing agents were between 3.50 N (zinc oxide) to 330.66 N (resin). The resin cement had an average bond strength statistically superior to that of other groups in the study, followed by glass ionomer cement (280.43 N), and zinc phosphate cement (90.58 N), which were statistically different. The zinc oxide cement was associated with the lowest average tensile bond strength, which was significantly lower than the other study groups. The completion of thermal cycling resulted in a statistically significant reduction in the average bond strength of zinc oxide cement without eugenol. For other cementing agents, thermocycling promoted a statistical increase in the average values of bond strength.

Discussion

Osseointegration has been promoted in the scientific literature as a dental specialty for over 40 years. Its evolution over the years has allowed a huge technological revolution for both materials used in the manufacturing of dental implants and materials for fabrication of prosthetic crowns. Among these materials, dental ceramic-based lithium disilicate.
IPS e.max (Ivoclar-Vivadent, Nichestein - Germany) is being widely tested on implants for its favorable esthetic, mechanical, and especially physical and chemical characteristics\(^2\). These dental materials have already been studied and evaluated in the scientific literature, with a successful predictable outcome\(^1,2,22,25\).

The fixation of prosthetic crowns on implants can be performed in two ways: first by fixing the prosthetic crown using a screw on the implant or abutment, and second, by cementing the crown on this abutment. When the esthetic factor is determinant of this decision, cemented prosthesis should be selected. A good esthetic restorative material can result in a favorable outcome of the clinical case\(^6,22,28\).

In this study, the focus was on these two aspects of rehabilitative treatment: the use of a lithium disilicate-based (coping), and cementing the abutment using different cementing agents. We thus evaluated the best interactions against resistance of the coping/abutment, as well as the effect of the aging method (thermal cycling) on the bond strength of the cementing agent. The cementation of an implant abutment with metallic copings has been widely discussed in the literature\(^20,23,26,31\), while the cementation with lithium disilicate on implant abutments, exists in the literature. This cementing protocol still needs a longer evaluation period\(^38\). Although a conclusive protocol does not exist, studies have reported effective adhesion with metal-free cements\(^34,35,36,37\).

The reversibility of the prosthesis was considered a major factor at the beginning of implantology because the dentist should be able to disassemble and reassemble screwed implants\(^24\), for maintenance and adjustments. Therefore, it was necessary to use temporary dental cements for cemented prostheses\(^13\).

This study used four cements: Temp-Bond NE, GC Fuji Plus, HY-Bond, and Multilink. Their performances were compared in vitro in relation to the tensile bond strength between the substrate and the ceramic lithium disilicate implant abutment of ITI/Straumann before and after thermocycling. These cements were chosen using as references studies that used similar representatives of these cementing agent groups, applied to the tooth substrate\(^34,35\) over other types of implants\(^5\) or on Straumann/ITI, Waldenburg, Switzerland, especially for the use of metallic coping\(^20,23,31\).

The average values of adhesiveness of resin cements used in this study are 0.76±0.216, which suggest a greater adhesiveness of resin cements. The cemented crowns were evaluated at two different times: before and after thermal cycling, by performing a test for tensile bond strength. According to the results obtained, Temp Bond cement (3.50 N) did not show values of bond strength sufficient for it to be adopted as temporary cement at the time post-cycling. The Hy-Bond cement (90.58 N) can be used as temporary cement due to the average bond strength obtained after thermal cycling. The Fuji Plus cement (280.43 N) can be used as a permanent cement because of the average bond strength obtained after thermal cycling, and the Multilink cement (330.66 N) obtained the greatest average tensile bond strength of all ce-

---

Table 3 – Average values of tensile bond strength (N) for dental cements evaluated before and after thermal cycling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Pre-Cycling</th>
<th>Post-Cycling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GZ</td>
<td>50.77 (1.53) A, b</td>
<td>03.50 (0.09) B, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZ</td>
<td>60.16 (1.82) B, b</td>
<td>90.58 (1.62) A, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC</td>
<td>240.21 (2.19) B, a</td>
<td>280.43 (3.32) A, b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>230.36 (4.49) B, a</td>
<td>330.66 (6.40) A, a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Averages followed by different uppercase in the rows and lowercase letters in columns differ statistically from each other (p<0.05), according to the Tukey test.
ments tested in the present study after thermocycling owing to its high adhesiveness. This high adhesiveness of Multilink cement could be due to the conditioning of the surface of prosthetic pieces/metal copings with the adhesive-bonding agent (silane) -hyaluronic acid-cooping bonding agent set system, this treatment was not performed for other cementing agents.5,8,15,20

With the exception of zinc oxide cement, the behavior of all other cements (RC, PZ, GIC) was positively affected by thermocycling, which increased the strength of bonding of the evaluated interfaces. A possible explanation for these results is that the heat supplied by cycling promoted increased maturation of zinc phosphate cements and glass ionomer, as well as increased the degree of monomer conversion in resin cement, resulting in an increased resistance at the bond interface. The average bond strength of zinc oxide was the only one that showed significant reduction after thermal cycling, possibly due to the degradation of the structure of its molecules. Zinc oxide has water as a trapped by-product and therefore, its setting reaction accelerate in aqueous media. Moreover, the hydrolysis of its molecules results in a faster degradation when compared to other cements tested.40

The evaluation of the four types of cements tested in this study confirmed the initial hypothesis that resin cements, because of their chemical and mechanical properties, behave better after thermocycling as compared to other cements. However, glass ionomer-based cements and zinc phosphate also performed better than the expectations and may thus be safely used for cementing.

Conclusions

Based on the results obtained in the present study, we conclude that the glass ionomer and resin cements were associated with average values of bond strength, significantly higher than the others did prior to thermal cycling. Thermocycling promoted an increase in the bond strength for all cements except the zinc oxide cement, for which a significant decrease in bond strength was observed. After thermal cycling, the resin cement was associated with the highest average value of bond strength, significantly higher than the other sealers.
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Efeito do agente de cimentação sobre a resistência de ligação do disilicado de lítio baseado em cerâmica e do pilar de titânio submetido ao envelhecimento artificial

Resumo

Objetivos: Este estudo teve como objetivo avaliar a resistência de união (RU) de uma interface de implante cerâmico coping-abutment de acordo com o agente de cimentação, antes e depois da ciclagem térmica (CT). Metodologia: Quarenta copings e pilares cerâmicos (PC) foram divididos em quatro grupos (n = 10): OZ (óxido de zinco eugenol livre), FZ (fosfato de zinco), IVM (ionômero de vidro modificado com resina) e CR (cimento de resina adesiva). O teste RU foi realizado usando uma máquina de teste universal antes e depois do CT. A análise de variância (ANOVA) e o teste de Tukey (p < 0,05) foram realizados. Resultados: Os resultados mostraram que, antes do CT, os valores variaram de 50,77 N (OZ) a 240,21 N (IVM). Após CT, os valores mostraram resultados intermediários - 3,5 N (OZ) e 330,66 N (CR), 90,58 N (FZ) e 280,43 N (IVM). OZ realizou o pior, tanto antes quanto depois do CT. Antes do CT, o IVM tinha o valor mais alto. Após CT, CR teve o maior valor de RU. Conclusões: O processo de envelhecimento influenciou e inverteu os resultados dos grupos IVM e CR e teve um impacto negativo maior no grupo OZ.
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